

CHARLTON CONSERVATION COMMISSION

MINUTES

May 17th, 2017

- I. Call to order:
 1. Chairman Thomas O'Malley called the meeting to order at 7:00

- II. Consent Agenda:
 1. **7:00pm** - Minutes from previous meeting to be reviewed and approved

- III. Scheduled Appointments:

7:05 – 10 A Young Road – Derek Gilbert

Todd Girard recommended a Standard Order of Condition. Thomas O'Malley made a motion to issue the Order of Condition; Mitch Dunn seconded the motion and it was voted in unanimous favor.

7:10 – 37 Ash Road – Deane Olmsted

Todd Girard explained to the Board that the applicant would like to have an expansion of an existing deck and have a garden; He stated that no irregularities were found and recommended a Standard Order of Condition. Thomas O'Malley made the motion to issue the Order of Condition; Arthur Bellerive seconded the motion and it was voted in unanimous favor.

7:15 – 95 Northside Road – Keith Johnson

Todd Girard announced to the Board that Mr. Johnson is proposing a Septic Repair; he visited the area and agreed with the Wetland Delineation. Todd Girard asked for continuance due to no DEP#. Norman Dugas made the motion to continue the hearing on June 7th. Arthur Bellerive seconded the motion and it was voted in unanimous favor.

7:20 – 85 Baker Pond – Alan Leone

The applicant Alan Leone presented his project explaining to the Board that he will be building a barn/garage and he will be working about 20 feet into the buffer zone. He shared with the Board he did not have the drawings from his engineer at the present time. Thomas O'Malley made the motion to continue the hearing on June 7th due to no DEP#; Arthur Bellerive seconded the motion and it was voted in unanimous favor.

7:25 – 9 Peaceful Lane – Danielle Audette –

Todd Girard announced to the Board that the applicant filed to have a Septic Repair in order to sell her house. He stated that he found few deficiencies as he inspected the field.

- No Wetland Delineation Boundary Markers were found on the site
- Wetland Resource location depicted on plan does not match wetland location in field
- Structures depicted on plan do not match structures in the field
- Depicted Septic Location within 50' of resource area
- Depicted Soil Testing locations do not match plan location

Todd Girard announced that on that day, May 17th, he received updated plan regarding the project, which appeared to have addressed the previous issues. However, Bob Murphy is stating that the depicted area is storm water channel. Todd Girard informed the board that the depicted area is a Border and Vegetated Wetland and it will be addressed as such and not as a storm water conveying system.

Todd Girard will reevaluate and will review the plans with the Board of Health.

Property owners from 5 Peaceful Lane, Carolyn & Larry Hafer asked if the project would have any impact on their land.

Todd Girard stated that he does not see any impact on their yard, adding that there would be a soil absorption system on the applicant's property.

Thomas O'Malley made a motion to continue the hearing on June 7th, Norman Dugas seconded the motion and it was voted in unanimous favor.

7:30 – Pine Road – Map 1A – Parcel/Lot Number J-6 & J-8 – Peter Hauge, Jr.

Andy Bun gave an overview of the updated plans, stating that he located the additional flags and added more topography shots. He also submitted supplemental and revised calculations report and discussed the increment volume.

Todd Girard stated that he reviewed the updated plans and that it corresponds to the actual field. He summarized stating that when he goes out in the field he is looking for primary and secondary indicators of hydrology and he has seen all of them around where the wetland flags are and on what would be depicted as the uphill side of those wetland flags.

He also found hydraulic soil indicators far outside of the depicted wetlands. Todd Girard stated "if you have hydraulic soil like the ones I found, you would only get them by having a good amount of water all the way to the area, and not from storm water".

Todd Girard discussed the importance of evaluating the ¼ acres feet due to the existence of primary and secondary indicators of vernal pool species. He added that "we" should be addressing all the protective factors of the Wetlands protection Act.

He stated that his numbers are greater than ¼ acre feet of water on the ground before he added storm event and that he believes that the hydrology is correct, but the analysis was not started at the correct elevation.

Mr. Glen Klavowski presented his findings regarding 50% wetland plants and argued that first "we" have to qualify for ¼ acre of feet of water before you calculate the 100 year storm.

Todd Girard stated that there are ground water all the way beyond the wetland flags which represents more than ¼ acre of feet of water.

Mr. Glen Klavowski proposed to get the DEP's opinion about the ¼ acre of water and the 100 year storm. Todd Girard would like to re visit the site and elaborate on the hydraulic soil indicators and water inundation. He stated that he has 50% of hydrophilic vegetation and as of present date, no data cards have been submitted to prove otherwise.

Mr. Glen Klavowski agreed in submitting the data cards.

Thomas O'Malley made a motion to continue the hearing on July 19th; Mitch Dunn seconded the motion and it was voted in unanimous favor.

7:35 – 4 Sturbridge Road – Otis Management

Todd Girard announced that the Engineers for this project asked for continuance. Thomas O'Malley made a motion to continue the hearing on June 7th; Mitch Dunn first the motion; Norman Dugas seconded the motion and it was voted in unanimous favor.

IV. Bills

No Bills were paid

Submitted by:

Rose Conway

Conservation Clerk



Accepted by Conservation Commission:

Thomas O'Malley

Arthur Bellerive

Norman Dugas

Robert Hartwig

Mitch Dunn

Edward Nowak

Todd Girard
Conservation Agent